

# Nuclear Data Working Group Summary

John Kelley  
NCSU and TUNL

# Revision of Nuclear Data Sheets format

$E_{\text{level}}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\ell}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\text{pr}}$	$\gamma(^{168}\text{Er})$		$\alpha$	Comments
			Mult. <sup>ℓ</sup>	$\delta^{\ell}$		
79.804	79.804 1	100	E2		7.04	B(E2)(W.u.)=213 4
264.0888	184.285 1	100	E2		0.331	B(E2)(W.u.)=319 9
548.7470	284.655 2	100	E2		0.0811	B(E2)(W.u.)=424 18
821.1685	557.079 3	1.7	E2		0.01252	B(E2)(W.u.)=0.61 4
	741.356 3	100.2	M1	>25 <sup>δ</sup>	0.00639 9	B(M1)(W.u.)<1.6×10 <sup>-5</sup> ; B(E2)(W.u.)>8.0
	821.164 5	93.6 4	E2		0.00510 8	B(E2)(W.u.)=4.68 16
895.7947	74.626 3	0.04 1	M1+E2	+1.42 +4-5	8.35 13	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0018 +5-7;

New format has been heavily Influenced by user input

631.703 3 18.1 2  
[http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/useroutput/AR\\_41076CBC6](http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/useroutput/AR_41076CBC6)

Adopted Levels, Gammas (continued)									
$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^{\pi}$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$E_f$	$J_f^{\pi}$	$\gamma(^{168}\text{Er})$		$\alpha$	Comments
						Mult. <sup>†</sup>	$\delta^{\dagger}$		
79.804	2+	79.804 1	100	0.0	0+	E2		7.04	B(E2)(W.u.)=213 4
264.0888	4+	184.285 1	100	79.804	2+	E2		0.331	B(E2)(W.u.)=319 9
548.7470	6+	284.655 2	100	264.0888	4+	E2		0.0811	B(E2)(W.u.)=424 18
821.1685	2+	557.079 3	1.74 8 <sup>f</sup>	264.0888	4+	E2 <sup>f</sup>		0.01252	B(E2)(W.u.)=0.61 4
		741.356 3	100 2 <sup>e</sup>	79.804	2+	E2+M1 <sup>e</sup>	>25 <sup>e a</sup>	0.00639 9	B(E2)(W.u.)>8.0; B(M1)(W.u.)<1.6×10 <sup>-5</sup>
		821.164 5	93.6 4 <sup>g</sup>	0.0	0+	E2		0.00510 8	B(E2)(W.u.)=4.68 16
895.7947	3+	74.626 3	0.04 1	821.1685	2+	M1+E2	+1.42 +4-5	8.35 13	B(E2)(W.u.)=3.1×10 <sup>2</sup> +8-12; B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0018 +5-7 δ: sign from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1996Al31) in $\epsilon$ decay; magnitude from L1/L3 in (n, $\gamma$ ) E=thermal (1980Sc15).
		631.703 3	18.1 2 <sup>g</sup>	264.0888	4+	M1+E2	-4.8 2 <sup>b</sup>	0.00965 14	B(E2)(W.u.)=4.6 +3-14; B(M1)(W.u.)=0.000172 +18-51
		815.990 4	100 2 <sup>g</sup>	79.804	2+	M1+E2	+17.7 23 <sup>c</sup>	0.00518 8	B(E2)(W.u.)=7.4 +5-21; B(M1)(W.u.)=3.4×10 <sup>-5</sup> +9-13
928.3029	8+	379.545 3	100	548.7470	6+	E2		0.0346	B(E2)(W.u.)=354 13
994.7474	4+	98.95		895.7947	3+				B(E2)(W.u.)=505 +122-40

New format will likely be folded into online services

# Actions Recommended by Brad Sherrill in Summary of Workshop on Nuclear Data Needs & Capabilities for Basic Science

- The USNDP must be maintained and improved. Evaluated nuclear structure and reactions data represent the fundamental building blocks of basic nuclear physics research. There is a strong need from the nuclear physics research community for reliable, up-to-date and comprehensive databases of nuclear properties and bibliographical information (see the talks: [http://meetings.nscl.msu.edu/2016ND\\_workshop/html/program.html](http://meetings.nscl.msu.edu/2016ND_workshop/html/program.html)).
- Maintain a high level of expertise and activity in the critical area of nuclear structure data evaluation
- Continue the active role of the USNDP in research
- Expand the scope to include more theory and nuclear astrophysics activities
- Have data management plans from investigators include submission of measured quantities, e.g. cross sections, which are presented in publications, in an easily readable format
- Continue development of new tools and products (software, data evaluation for researchers, ...) – maybe hold targeted workshops to address issues like continuous data
- Investigate the possibility to offer data-related pre-review of manuscripts (helping to avoid mistakes and errata)
- Version controlled publication of ENSDF to allow reproducible citations
- Continue the effort to digitize and catalogue old graphical data and obscure publications